

# Interview Summary

Application No.

09/873,298

Applicant(s)

Steiner et al.

Examiner

Bennett Celsa

Art Unit

1627

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Bennett Celsa(3) Michele C. Bosch

MCB

(2) William L. Strauss *WLS*(4) NANCY J. LINCK *ngl*Date of Interview Sep 25, 2002Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Claim(s) discussed: of record.

Identification of prior art discussed:

N/AAgreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

THE EXAMINER WILL CONSIDER APPLICANT'S REQUEST UNDER 37CFR 11.607 THAT AN INTERFERENCE BE DECLARED UPON COMPLIANCE WITH THE SEQUENCE RULES AND FURTHER IN LIGHT OF ANY ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS OR EVIDENCE APPLICANT'S MAY WISH TO PROVIDE THE EXAMINER.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

*Bennett Celsa*  
Examiner's signature, if required

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/873,298

Applicant(s)

Steiner et al.

Examiner

Bennett Celsa

Art Unit

1627

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Bennett Celsa(3) Michele C. Bosch(2) William L. Strauss

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Sep 25, 2002Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Claim(s) discussed: of record.

Identification of prior art discussed:

N/AAgreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required